

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,029	08/06/2003	Masuyuki Takcda	1163-0466P.	7366
	7590 02/09/20 ART KOLASCH & B	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	·	PEIKARI, BEHZAD		
FALLS CHUR	FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			PAPER NUMBER
			2189	
	·	·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 02/09/2007		ELECT	RONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 02/09/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/635,029	TAKEDA, MASUYUKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	B. James Peikari	2189
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 136(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, accuse the application to become ABA	ATION. Note: A street of the communication of the
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Λ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the second sec	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objection drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	Mail Dateormal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/635,029 Page 2

Art Unit: 2189

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

- 2. The specification is objected to because title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The specification is objected to because there are minor errors in English idiom throughout that should be corrected. A substitute specification is not necessary.

 Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 1-9 are objected to because informalities, such as the following examples shown from the first chain of claims (claims 1, 2 and 7) only:
 - (A) In claim 1, lines 4 and 6, "the replaying of" should replace "replaying".
- (B) In claim 7, line 3, either "in" or "across" should replace "in across" Appropriate correction to all claims is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,029 Page 3

Art Unit: 2189

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Examples are show for the first chain of claims (claims 1, 2 and 7) only:
 - (A) In claim 1, line 4, the antecedent basis of "a logical sector number" is unclear; i.e., it is unclear whether it is the same as that of line 2.
 - (B) In claim 1, lines 6-7, the antecedent basis of "a predetermined logical sector number" is unclear; i.e., it is unclear whether it is the same as that of line 2 or line 4 or both.
 - (C) In claim 1, line 8, the antecedent basis of "a logical block number" is unclear; i.e., it is unclear whether it is the same as that of line 7.
 - (D) In claim 1, lines 10-11, the antecedent basis of "a logical sector number" is unclear; i.e., it is unclear whether it is the same as that of lines 2 or 4 or 6-7 or any combination thereof.
 - (E) In claim 1, line 11, the antecedent basis of "the logical block number acquired" is unclear; i.e., it is unclear whether it is the same as that acquired in lines 7 and 9 or in line 8, or all three.

- (F) In claim 1, line 12, the antecedent basis of "the logical sector number acquired" is unclear; i.e., logical sector numbers are acquired in lines 2 and 4.
- (G) In claim 2, line 4, "accompanying" is unclear.
- (H) In claim 7, line 2, the use of "the logical sector number" is confusing; i.e., it is unclear how one sector number could indicate a position across plural sectors.
 Similar errors exist the remaining claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 are withdrawn due to the ambiguities noted in section 7 of this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 are withdrawn due to the ambiguities noted in section 7 of this Office action.

Response to Amendment

9. It is not possible from the claims as written for the examiner to properly determine the scope of this language or to determine the metes and bounds of the claims.

Due to the ambiguities and confusion in claims 1-9 as cited above, no art has been applied thereto, see *In re Steele*, 49 CCPA 1295, 305 F. 2d 859, 134 USPQ 292

Art Unit: 2189

(1962) and *In re Wilson*, 424 F.2d 1382, 165 USPQ 494 (CCPA 1970). The examiner will not speculate as to the intended meaning.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Peikari whose telephone number is (571) 272-4185. The examiner is generally available between 7:00 am and 7:30 pm, EST, Monday through Wednesday, and between 5:30 am and 4:00 pm on Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reginald Bragdon, can be reached at (571) 272-4204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. James Peikari Primary Examiner Art Unit 2189

2/4/07